Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,632	SIMONOFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Phillip H. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gerhard W. Thielman (Reg. No. 43,186).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 December 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102		
Claims discussed: All independent claims.		
Prior art documents discussed: Dye et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed the allowable subject matters. Independent claims need to further amend the clarify the claimed invention.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)